Personality features of obese women in relation to binge eating and night eating
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1. Introduction

Disordered eating has been variably associated with specific personality traits (Fassino et al., 2002b; van den Bree et al., 2006), but studies carried out by means of the Karolinska Scales of Personality (Bjorvell et al., 1994; Jonsson et al., 1986; Poston et al., 1999) or the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (Kolotkin et al., 1987; Martin, 1999) produced conflicting results. Higher scores in monotony avoidance were systematically associated with incapacity to maintain weight loss, but the scale does not help predict outcome in obesity treatment (Poston et al., 1999). These inventories were designed and validated to assess overt pathological personality traits, whereas the inter-individual variation of normal traits may be left undiagnosed because of poor specificity (Sullivan et al., 2007). Personality traits might be partly responsible for the development of obesity as well as for the failure of obesity treatment (Sullivan et al., 2007), via disordered eating. However, the possible negative role of the social inheritance of obesity and disordered eating on personality changes was also taken into account (Sutin et al., 2011). Whichever the cause and the effect, a precise assessment of personality traits might help identify strategies to improve obesity treatment.

Personality traits can affect eating behaviors, the development of obesity, and obesity treatment failure. We investigated the personality characteristics and their relation with disordered eating in 586 obese women consecutively seeking treatment at eight Italian medical centers (age, 47.7 ± 9.8 years) and 185 age-matched, normal weight women without symptoms of eating disorders (Eating Attitude Test < 20). The assessment included anthropometry, the Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI), the Binge Eating Scale (BES) and the Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ). Logistic regression analyses were carried out in different models with BES score ≥ 27 and NEQ ≥ 30 as dependent variables and TCI scores as independent factors. Personality traits of obese individuals included significantly lower self-directedness and cooperativeness on TCI. BES and NEQ scores were higher in obese women, and values above the defined cut-offs were present in 77 and 18 cases (14 with high BES), respectively. After controlling for age and BMI, high BES values were associated with high novelty seeking and harm avoidance and low self-directedness, the last two scales being also associated with high NEQ. We conclude that personality traits differ between obese patients seeking treatment and controls, and the presence of disordered eating is associated with specific personality characteristics.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
The Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI) was designed to make a comprehensive evaluation of normal personality (Cloninger et al., 1993, 1994; Svrakic et al., 1993). It is based on a psychobiological model of personality based on seven dimensions divided into temperament and character. Temperament is defined as the partly inherited emotional responses, stable throughout life, mediated by neurotransmitter functioning (Cloninger, 1987) in the central nervous system. It is identified by four dimensions (novelty seeking, harm avoidance, reward dependence and persistence). Character is defined as the sum of personality traits acquired through experience, identified by three dimensions (self-directedness, cooperativeness, self-transcendence).

In individuals with eating disorders, high values of novelty seeking were associated with binge eating or overeating, while low values of novelty seeking with dietary caloric restriction (Brewerton et al., 1993; Bullik et al., 1995b; Fassino et al., 2001, 2004; Kleifield et al., 1994; Waller et al., 1993). Low persistence scores were positively associated with drop-out in a cognitive behavior inpatient treatment for eating disorder (Dalle Grave et al., 2008), whereas high self-directedness was associated with a rapid and sustained response to cognitive behavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa (Bullik et al., 1999).

Only a few data are available on the personality of obese individuals as assessed by the TCI. Obese individuals in the community scored higher in novelty seeking and lower in persistence and self-directedness than non-obese individuals. They also scored lower in reward dependence and cooperativeness than obese individuals of a university weight management program (Sullivan et al., 2007). In a different study, obese patients with binge eating disorder (BED) had lower self-directedness than obese patients without BED (Fassino et al., 2002b), but both groups had higher scores of novelty seeking and harm avoidance, as well as lower scores of cooperativeness and self-directedness compared to controls (Fassino et al., 2002b). Finally, a study in children using the Junior TCI found a trend of lower persistence and higher novelty seeking in overweight compared to normal-weight children (Hwang et al., 2006). Limitations of TCI reports in obesity include small sample sizes in the majority of studies and lack of a comprehensive analysis of psychosocial variables in the population (Fassino et al., 2002b; Sullivan et al., 2007).

The present study was aimed to trace personality traits in a large group of obese women seeking treatment at eight Italian medical centers and in a control population without obesity, in order to define a possible specificity of temperament and character in relation to excess body weight. In the obese cohort, personality traits were also evaluated in relation to specific eating disorders frequently associated with obesity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. QUOVADIS II study planning and protocol

The QUOVADIS II (QUality of life in Obesity: eVAluation and DIsease Surveillance II) is a purely observational study on personality, psychological well-being, body image and eating behavior set up in 2006 in obese patients seeking treatment at medical centers. It was conducted in eight Italian centers (Verona, Milan, Bologna, Ferrara, Florence, Rome) accredited by the Italian Health Service for the treatment of obesity. After enrollment, subjects were treated along the lines of the specific programs of the Centers, including dietary, cognitive behavioral therapy, and drugs.

The sample study included 586 women with obesity (body-mass index (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/m²), consecutively seeking treatment. All cases, including those with binge eating, were eligible for the study provided they were not on active treatment at the time of enrollment and were in the age range between 25 and 65 years. To ensure that subjects were truly consecutive, the medical record number of the patients was monitored across sites.

The control group consisted of 185 normal weight women (BMI in the range 18.5–24.9 kg/m²) in the same age range, with a score < 20 on the Eating Attitude Test (EAT) (to exclude participants with symptoms of eating disorder) (Garfin, 1979). All subjects of the control group were recruited among relatives and friends of the personnel participating in the study.

Data collection included a detailed case report form and a set of questionnaires for personality, psychological distress and eating behavior disorders. The protocol was approved by the ethical committees of the individual centers, after initial approval by the ethical committee of the coordinating center (Azienda Ospedaliera di Bologna, Policlinico S. Orsola – Malpighi). All participants gave written informed consent to participation. The investigation was carried out in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Case report form

The Case Report Form was filled in by physicians at the time of enrollment by directly interviewing patients.

2.2.2. Weight and height

Patients were measured bare-footed and in underwear with a medical balance and a stadiometer. Weight changes were examined from baseline to 12 months.

2.2.3. Psychosocial assessment

Participants with obesity completed a battery of specific questionnaires used to detect personality, psychiatric distress, binge eating, night eating and body image uneasiness. The control subjects completed only the TCI and the EAT.

The TCI is based on a psychobiological model of personality (Cloninger et al., 1994), including four temperament dimensions (novelty seeking, harm avoidance, reward dependence and persistence) and three character dimensions (self-directedness, cooperativeness and self-transcendence). Novelty seeking reflects the degree of activation of exploratory activity. Harm avoidance describes the efficiency of the behavioral inhibition system. Reward dependence reflects the maintenance of rewarded behavior. Persistence expresses the maintenance of behavior as resistance to frustration. Self-directedness, cooperativeness and self-transcendence express the degree to which the self is viewed as autonomous, integrated as part of society and as integral part of the universe, respectively. Low self-directedness and cooperativeness are common dimensional patterns across personality disorder subtypes and strong predictors of a diagnosis of DSM axis II disorder (Cloninger et al., 1994). The TCI has good internal consistency (Cloninger et al., 1994; Sato et al., 1999), inter-tester reliability and test-retest reliability (Cloninger et al., 1994). It has been validated in its Italian version (Fassino et al., 2002a).

The Binge Eating Scale (BES) (Gormally et al., 1982) was used to assess the severity of binge eating. Its 16 items examine both behavioral signs (eating large amounts of food) and feeling or cognition during a binge episode (loss of control, guilt, fear of being unable to stop eating). A score ≥ 27 conventionally serves as a cutoff value for identifying the presence of severe eating disorder, compatible with binge eating disorder, whereas values ≤ 16 may be used as screening values to exclude binge eating (Greeo et al., 1995).

The Night Eating Questionnaire (NEQ) was used to assess the behavioral and psychological symptoms of the night eating syndrome (NES) (Allison et al., 2008). The NEQ is a 14-item questionnaire that assesses core features (e.g., percentage of calories consumed after dinner and frequency of awakenings and nocturnal ingestions) and associated symptoms of the night eating syndrome (e.g., cravings at night, insomnia, and disordered mood). The total scale exhibited adequate reliability (α=0.70) and a cut-off score of 30 can be used as a screening value predicting a high risk of NES (Allison et al., 2008). The EAT was limited to the control population to rule out eating disorders (Garner and Garfin, 1979). The 26-item EAT (EAT-26) is a standardized measure of symptoms and concerns characteristic of eating disorders; it was the screening instrument used in the 1998 National Eating Disorders Screening Program and has been validated in its Italian version (Dotti and Lazzari, 1998). Studies have shown that values below 20 can reasonably exclude eating disorders, whereas values ≥ 20 may be referred for a diagnostic interview (Garner et al., 1982).

2.3. Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics are presented by means (standard deviation) for continuous variables and by frequencies (percentages) for discrete variables. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests indicated that demographic and clinical variables were not normally distributed. The Mann–Whitney test, χ² test or Kruskal–Wallis test were used for groups comparison, as appropriate.

General linear models were performed to analyze differences between groups, controlling for age and/or BMI. A stepwise logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age and BMI, was performed in separate models to investigate the association of each personality dimension of the TCI (independent variables) with the presence of clinically significant binge eating (identified by BES values ≥ 27 as dependent variable) and night eating (identified by NEQ ≥ 30).
All analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, Version 15.0. Four sets of variables were analyzed: demographic/anthropometric, BES, NEQ and TCI. Accordingly, the significance limit was set at \( p < 0.0125 \) (Bonferroni correction).

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical variables in obese and control groups

At baseline the subjects with obesity were characterized by higher scores on the BES and the NEQ, and significantly lower self-directedness and cooperativeness scores on the TCI, when compared with the control group (Table 1).

3.2. Clinical characteristics of obese patients

Obese women had a mean BMI of 38.2 kg/m\(^2\) (S.D. 6.8). Two hundred and thirteen patients (36.3%) had Class 1 obesity, 187 (31.9%) Class 2 and 186 (31.7%) Class 3. The Kruskall–Wallis test showed no differences between the three obesity classes on the total scores of the BES and the NEQ or on TCI subscales.

3.3. Binge eating severity and clinical variables in obese patients

Seventy-seven obese women (13.1%) were classified as severe binge eaters (BES \( \geq 27 \)), 137 (23.4%) were in the intermediate rank (BES values in the range 17–26) and 364 (62.1%) were in the normal range (BES \( \leq 16 \)) (Table 2).

Age and BMI did not differ between groups. Women with very high BES scores (\( \geq 27 \)) also had significantly higher scores on the NEQ, novelty seeking and harm avoidance than other groups, whereas the group with normal BES values scored significantly higher in the cooperativeness and self-directedness subscales.

Logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age and BMI, showed that BES \( \geq 27 \) in the obese population was positively associated with higher NEQ (odds ratio (OR), 1.13; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.09–1.17), with deciles of novelty seeking (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.09–1.31) and harm avoidance (OR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.23–1.68), and was negatively associated with deciles of self-directedness (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.58–0.75). The association was confirmed by linear regression analysis, using BES total score as the dependent variable (not reported in details).

3.4. Night eating severity and clinical variables in obese patients

Eighteen obese women (3.1%) had an NEQ score \( \geq 30 \). Of note, 17/18 of these participants also had values exceeding the cut-off of 16 on the BES scale, with 14 cases being in the class of severe binge eating (BES \( \geq 27 \)). A non-parametric analysis comparing participants with high vs. low NEQ scores (Table 3) showed that a high NES score was associated with higher scores on the BES, as well as higher score on the harm avoidance and self-directedness subscales of the TCI.

In addition to BES scores (OR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.13–1.28), only two TCI subscales were significantly associated with high NES values at logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age and BMI (deciles of harm avoidance: OR, 2.05; 95% CI, 1.25–3.34, and deciles of self-directedness: OR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.59–0.83).

### Table 1
Baseline characteristics in obese patients and control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Obese patients ((N=586))</th>
<th>Control group ((N=185))</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney test</th>
<th>( p ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47.7 (9.8)</td>
<td>47.2 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body-mass index (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>38.2 (6.5)</td>
<td>22.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>20.52</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge Eating Scale (score)</td>
<td>14.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>5.5 (5.9)</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night Eating Questionnaire (score)</td>
<td>12.5 (7.6)</td>
<td>10.6 (6.0)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament and Character Inventory (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>47.3 (27.0)</td>
<td>45.4 (27.8)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm avoidance</td>
<td>72.6 (24.6)</td>
<td>70.7 (23.4)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>47.6 (24.8)</td>
<td>49.4 (25.5)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>28.3 (24.2)</td>
<td>28.3 (25.6)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-directedness</td>
<td>38.6 (27.7)</td>
<td>49.5 (28.4)</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>47.2 (23.0)</td>
<td>51.3 (22.3)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-transcendence</td>
<td>27.6 (26.2)</td>
<td>26.6 (25.9)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant \( p \) value \(< 0.0125\).

### Table 2
Binge eating severity and clinical and personality variables in obese patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No binge eating ( (\text{BES} \leq 16) ) ((N=364))</th>
<th>Mild binge eating ( (\text{BES}, 17–26) ) ((N=137))</th>
<th>Severe binge eating ( (\text{BES} \geq 27) ) ((N=77))</th>
<th>Kruskal-Wallis test</th>
<th>( p ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at baseline</td>
<td>48.2 (9.6)</td>
<td>46.7 (9.5)</td>
<td>45.8 (10.5)</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>37.7 (6.3)</td>
<td>39.2 (8.0)</td>
<td>39.2 (7.0)</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night Eating Syndrome-Q</td>
<td>10.4 (4.6)</td>
<td>13.9 (8.3)a</td>
<td>20.0 (11.6)b</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament and Character Inventory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>44.2 (26.6)</td>
<td>49.4 (26.7)</td>
<td>58.7 (27.0)b</td>
<td>18.99</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm avoidance</td>
<td>68.6 (25.4)</td>
<td>76.3 (22.5)a</td>
<td>86.0 (18.0)b</td>
<td>44.33</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>47.7 (25.3)</td>
<td>45.5 (23.2)</td>
<td>51.3 (25.8)</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>28.0 (23.0)</td>
<td>29.1 (26.0)</td>
<td>28.2 (26.0)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-directedness</td>
<td>46.3 (27.2)</td>
<td>30.0 (23.7)b</td>
<td>17.5 (20.2)b</td>
<td>89.71</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>50.5 (21.8)</td>
<td>42.1 (23.4)a</td>
<td>41.9 (24.5)a</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-transcendence</td>
<td>27.8 (25.6)</td>
<td>26.2 (26.2)</td>
<td>29.0 (28.9)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant \( p \) value \(< 0.0125\).

\( ^a \) \( p < 0.0125 \) vs. no binge eating.

\( ^b \) \( p < 0.0125 \) vs. mild binge eating.
TCI scores were demonstrated between NES positive cases and traits in subjects without specific psychopathology. Cloninger's measures of personality are more reliable than other measures of personality, providing a reproducible evaluation of personality by means of the TCI, which is more suited for assessing differences in personality in a large and heterogeneous sample of obese patients seeking treatment for obesity.

In previous studies (Fassino et al., 2002b), self-directedness of obese patients was observed to be lower than controls, as previously reported in obese individuals from clinical samples (Fassino et al., 2002b). Self-directedness is a personality characteristic associated with the ability of obese patients to set meaningful goals and pursue meaningful goals (Cloninger et al., 1994). Low scores on self-directedness might be a personality characteristic of obese individuals observed in other studies (Fassino et al., 2002b; Sullivan et al., 2007). In our analysis, high novelty seeking was associated with a higher risk of high BES scores. High harm avoidance was similarly identified in the large U.S. population sample (Sullivan et al., 2007), whereas in our analysis it was only associated with a much higher risk of disordered eating (both high BES and high NEQ scores). Obesity is a very heterogeneous condition, and cultural or social differences, as well as specific settings, may make the difference. The recruitment of obese subjects from eight centers in different Italian areas was intended to overcome this bias.

In our study, we could only partly confirm other differences in personality traits in obese women with night eating, a result never reported in the previous study (Napolitano et al., 2001). Night eating syndromes (NES) have also been associated with worse weight loss outcome in patients treated with behavioral therapy (Sullivan et al., 2007) and may also be a negative factor on weight loss outcome in the presence of binge eating. A high score on harm avoidance is a personality characteristic associated with higher BMI and obesity (Bjorvell et al., 1985; Jacobs and Wagner, 1984). High scores on novelty seeking have also been associated with worse weight loss outcome in patients treated with behavioral therapy (Sullivan et al., 2007) and may also be a negative factor on weight loss outcome in the presence of binge eating. A high score on harm avoidance is a personality characteristic observed in patients with bulimia nervosa (Bulik et al., 1995a). This temperamental feature might be responsible for the tendency to lose control over food intake and for weight gain in obese patients (Fassino et al., 2002b). High scores on harm avoidance are also typical of patients with mood disorders (Sato et al., 2001; Svrakic et al., 1992), and correlate with alterations in the serotonin systems (Cloninger and Svrakic, 2009), conditions both associated with binge eating (Araujo et al., 2010; Steiger, 2004).

An original finding is the demonstration of peculiar personality traits in obese women with night eating, a result never reported in the literature. In addition, obese patients with high scores on night eating and on binge eating had very similar personality traits in obese women with night eating, a result never reported in the previous study (Napolitano et al., 2001).

### Table 3

Clinical variables and personality traits in obese patients in relation to night eating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No night eating (N=568)</th>
<th>Night eating (N=18)</th>
<th>Mann–Whitney test or ( \chi^2 ) test</th>
<th>( p ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>47.5 (9.8)</td>
<td>50.5 (9.6)</td>
<td>–1.23</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>38.2 (6.8)</td>
<td>39.0 (7.3)</td>
<td>–0.40</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge Eating Scale (score)</td>
<td>14.0 (9.2)</td>
<td>32.4 (11.1)</td>
<td>–5.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BES ≥ 27, N (%)</td>
<td>63 (11.3%)</td>
<td>14 (77.8%)</td>
<td>66.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperament and Character Inventory (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty seeking</td>
<td>47.1 (26.9)</td>
<td>52.2 (32.2)</td>
<td>–0.76</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm avoidance</td>
<td>72.0 (24.7)</td>
<td>91.2 (8.4)</td>
<td>–3.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward dependence</td>
<td>47.7 (24.7)</td>
<td>47.1 (27.4)</td>
<td>–0.05</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>28.3 (24.1)</td>
<td>30.8 (25.0)</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-directedness</td>
<td>39.1 (27.7)</td>
<td>21.5 (22.7)</td>
<td>–2.63</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperativeness</td>
<td>47.5 (23.0)</td>
<td>38.5 (21.6)</td>
<td>–1.69</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-transcendence</td>
<td>27.4 (26.0)</td>
<td>36.1 (30.9)</td>
<td>–1.32</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant \( p \) value < 0.015
The presence of high NEQ scores might identify a subgroup of binge-eaters suffering from even more severe psychological burden. This result, however, needs confirmatory studies due to the low number of NES positive cases identified in our population. Finally, the frequent association of night eating and binge eating suggests that the evidence-based treatments for binge eating disorder and bulimia nervosa (i.e., cognitive behavior therapy and interperso-
atal psychotherapy) (National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, 2004) might also be effective in night eaters.

In eating disorder patients, some TCI personality features are influenced by weight loss-induced changes in depression and eating disorder psychopathology (Dalle Grave et al., 2009; Fabricatore et al., 2011; Karlsson et al., 2007). Future studies should evaluate if the personality characteristics observed in our obese female patients with high scores on binge and night eating might be influenced by these psychopathological variables or merely by obesity or by the alterations of the serotonin system observed both in individuals with binge eating (Araujo et al., 2010; Steiger, 2004) and with night eating (Lundgren et al., 2009).

The study has additional weaknesses. First, personality and eating disorder variables were evaluated by self-reported ques-
tionnaires, instruments that tend to overestimate the prevalence of psychopathology (Fairburn and Beglin, 1994). Second, our findings are restricted to obese individuals seeking treatment in a medical setting and do not provide any information on the large number of obese individuals in the community who do not seek treatment or who seek help in non-medical settings.

Personality characteristics have previously been shown to predict outcome and attrition in the behavioral and surgical treatment of obesity (De Panfili et al., 2006, 2008). Future studies should investigate whether and, possibly, how much personality characteristics might influence compliance to treatment and weight loss outcome in response to specific behavioral treatment programs (e.g., individual vs. group care). It would also be interesting to evaluate if weight loss may change some personality characteristics (namely, the character domains) and whether adapting treatment to specific personality traits may help improve outcome. Finally, it should be investigated if strategies derived from the cognitive behavior therapy for bulimia nervosa might also improve the outcome of obesity management. This treatment is indeed associated with decreased harm avoidance and increased self-directedness (Anderson et al., 2002) and similar strategies might be reproduced in the treatment of obesity. These data are pivotal to determine whether measures of personality are worth being included in the comprehensive assessment of obese individuals.
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